

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/674,002	12/27/2000	Martin Billger	01677710436	8872
75	90 07/15/2003			
Stephen A Bent Foley & Lardner			EXAMINER	
			LI, RUIXIANG	
Washington Har 3000 K Street N				
Washington, DC 20007-5109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1646	11 1 1
			DATE MAILED: 07/15/2003	ίV
				1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Applicant(s) Application No. BILLGER ET AL. 09/674.002 **Advisory Action** Art Unit Examiner 1646 Ruixiang Li -- Trie MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED on June 20, 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) \_\_\_ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: . 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_. 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: . Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_. Claim(s) rejected: 1-7,9,12,17,18,20-28 and 31-36. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 29 and 30. 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

4

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the rejection of claims of 1-7,9,12,17,18,20-28 and 31-36 under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) set forth in the previous Office action (Paper No.12) remains. The amended independent claims 1, 9, and 24 incorposite a limitation, "A stable, liquid pharmaceutical formulation". However, such a limitation does not overcom the rejection set forth in the previous office action in Paper No. 12 for the following reasons. First, this limitation was present in the canceled claim 10, which was rejected in the previous office action under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Holthuis et al. (U.S. Patent 5,496,801, March 5, 1996) in view of Endo et al. (U.S. Patent 5,563,122, October 8, 1996). Secondly, the cited references still read on the limitations of the amended claims because the cited references teach a stable pharmaceutical formulation comprising human parathyroid hormone (1-84), mannitol as excipient, and citrate as buffering agent in both liquid form and lyophilised form (please see details in Paper No. 12).

YVONNE EYLER, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600